

I, Dr. Gert Weiz, Assistant Defense Counsel for
Karl Eberhardt in Case X before the American Military
Tribunal in Nuremberg, hereby confirm that the
attached document:

letter from the Elmag GmbH of 16 March 1944

to the Elmag A.G. re production of textile machines
was lawfully copied from files of the firm of Krupp
made available to me as captured documents by the
prosecution in Nuremberg, and that it is a literal
copy of the original.

Nuremberg, 9 April 1948

Weiz.

DOCUMENT BOOK V B EBERHARDT No. 236
EXHIBIT No.

COPY

To the Elmag
Elsaessische Maschinenbau AG.

17b Mulhouse /Alsace, Rhonestr. 12

2/K

16 March 1944

Re: Manufacture of Textile Machines.

With reference to our correspondence up to date and a telephone communication according to which the Armament Inspection Department asked the approval of the Main Committee Machines to exclude the Elmag from the manufacture of textile machines for export, we attach copy of a teletype message of the Special Committee Textile Machines. Following a telephone conversation with Herr Dr. Strauss we sent the Special Committee and the Main Committee a teletype and telegraphic message copies of which we enclose.

Re point 2 of the teletype message of 10 March - which incidentally we read to you over the telephone immediately after receipt- Herr Dr. Strauss has been informed over the telephone that the hundred workers simply mean the figure of purely productive labor, calculated theoretically from the work hours divided by the hours a month in accordance with the work hours prescribed. To these hundred workers there must therefore, be added the deductions caused by leaves, illness, etc. The figure of 209 persons given to the Economic group for December,

COPY.

includes also all the auxiliary concerns, employees, etc. Actually these figures change from month to month. It was already ascertained over the telephone that we require approximately 170 persons ~~productive~~ and ~~non-productive~~, i.e. wage receivers, not including employees, as stated in the teletype message to the Special Committee and the Main Committee.

Nothing further can be done at the moment. We shall have to wait and see ~~what~~ the Reich Minister for Armament and War Production will decide concerning the future manufacture of textile machines. Presumably a certain amount of export will be granted as a necessary supplement to the repair and spare part business.

As soon as we receive further news we shall inform you.

Heil Hitler!

ELMAG Elsass
Maschinenbaugesellschaft m.b.H.

(Signature): Illegible

Enclosures:

Duplicates: Herr Dir. Habermaas
Herr Schwarzbach.

-- Copy --

To the Chief of Civil Administration
Department for Finance and Economy
Attention of Prof. Dr. Farler
17b, STRASSBURG, Alsace
Bismarckplatz 4

2/K

17b
16 March '44

Subject: Manufacture of textile machines.

In connection with the previous correspondence and the telephone conversation according to which the Armament Inspectorate had asked the Main Committee for Machines to exclude the EIMAG from the production of textile machines for export, we send you enclosed a copy of a teletype of the Special Committee for Textile Machines. In response to this teletype and further, in connection with a telephone conversation with Dr. Strauss, we send the attached teletype and telegram to the Special Committee and the Main Committee.

With respect to Point 2 of the teletype of 10 March, of which we informed the EIMAG A.G. by telephone immediately upon its receipt, it has already been explained to Dr. Strauss by telephone that the figure of 100 workers refers to the number of purely productive workers, and that this figure was theoretically computed from the number of hours worked divided by the number of hours per month, according to the working hours per day. Hence, in the case of these 100 workers, the deductions for vacation time, sickness, etc., must be drawn into consideration.

Copy_ _

Page - 4 -

(page 1 of original, cont'd)

The figure of 209 persons, as reported to the Economic Group (Wirtschaftsgruppe) for December, includes, proportionately, all auxiliary plants, employees, etc. Furthermore, these figures vary from month to month. It has already been stated over the telephone that, counting only the wage workers, that is, without drawing into consideration the employees, we require about 170 persons, including both workers and administrative staff according to the report made to the Special Committee and the Main Committee.

Nothing further can be undertaken at the moment. We must wait until the Reich Minister for Armaments and War Production comes to a decision regarding the future manufacture of textile machines. Presumably a certain amount of export business will be permitted as a necessary complement to the actual repair and spare-parts business.

As soon as we hear more, we will again inform you.

Heil Hitler!

ELMAG
Werke Elsass (Alsace Works)
Maschinenbaugesellschaft m.b.H.

(MS: initials) illegible.

Enclosures.

Copies to: Dir. Habermaas
Herr Schwarzbach - Herr Zimmermann

Copy --

Page - 5 -

-- Urgent telegram --

Main Committee Machines
Rauchstrasse 2

BERLIN W 35 --

Answer teletype 10 March Dr. Strauss Point 2 as follows:

Require minimum 170 men for program repair, spare parts and
export.

Await production directive mentioned under Point 3.

Same text to Special Committee for Machines for textiles and
clothing industry Dr. Strauss.

Elsasswerke

11 March 1944.

Teletype --

Firm of Hartmann Chemnitz

For Special Committee for textiles and clothing industry

Dr. Strauss answer teletype 10 March Point 2 as follows:

Require minimum 170 men for program repair, spare parts and
export.

Await production directive mentioned under Point 3.

Same text to Main Committee Machines.

Technical Secretariat, 11 March '44

Elsasswerke (signed) Dr. Schumacher.

Copy -

Page - 6 -

Elsasswerke Muln
Hartmanns Chemnitz

10 March '44 17.21 F. No. 438 /Te

Subject: Priority classification - employment of labor -

I take up the following attitude to telegram ELMAG to Herr

Monforts:

- 1) Special Committee Textile Machines has not approved request of the Armament Inspectorate to exclude ELMAG from the manufacture of textile machines for export.
- 2) ELMAG reported to the Special Committee in November 1943 100 workers (65 repair and spare parts, 35 export) and reports to the Economic Group (Wirtschaftsgruppe) for December 209 persons.
Please explain the difference without delay.
- 3) ELMAG received from the Main Committee Machines a production directive whereby permission to retain the workers hitherto used in the textile machine sector was guaranteed. In the production directive it will be provided that these workers are first to be employed in the completion of the machines under construction, and in repair service.
- 4) Whether and to what extent permission can be given to the ELMAG in the future to manufacture machines for export can only be decided by the Special Committee

Eberhardt No. 236

Exhibit No.....

Copy -

Page - 7 -

(page 1 of original continued)

when a general ruling on the future manufacture of textile machines has been received from the Reich Minister R.u.K. (for Armaments and War Production).

Special Committee for the
Textiles and Clothing Industry

(signed) Dr. Strauss